I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on

QUINE Intellectual Property Law Group, P.C.

By.

Chianti Appling

Atty Docket No.: 407T-907720US Client Ref: 2000-453-3

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

JOHN CLARK LAGARIAS, TAKAYUKI KOICHI, NICOLE FRANKENBERG, GREGORY A. GAMBETTA, and BERONDA L. MONTGOMERY

Application No.: 09/870,406

Filed: 5/29/2001

For: **HY2 FAMILY OF BILIN REDUCTASES** 

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Examiner: unassigned

Art Unit: unassigned

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE REPLY

Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

This amendment is filed in response to the Notice of Incomplete Reply mailed on May

- 28, 2002. The following documents are enclosed herewith:
  - 1) A petition to extend the period of response for two months;
  - 2) A copy of the Notice of Incomplete Reply;
  - 3) 22 pages of formal drawings;
  - 3) A paper copy of the sequence listing; and
  - 4) A computer readable form of the sequence listing.

Please amend the claims and/or specification as follows

#### In the Specification.

Please delete the existing drawings and insert the accompanying 22 pages of formal drawings.

Please delete the sequence listing, and insert the substitute sequence listing pages 1-47.

Application No.: 09/870,406

Page 2

,---(,;

### <u>REMARKS</u>

## A) Response to Notice of Incomplete Reply:

This amendment is provided in Response to the Notice of Incomplete Reply (Nonprovisional) mailed on May 28, 2002. In that notice, the Formalities Letter indicated that the reply to the Notice of Missing Parts was incomplete because:

- 1) The drawing sheets therein allegedly did not have the appropriate margins;
- 2) The computer readable form of the sequence listing was allegedly found to be damaged and/or unreadable.

To remedy these alleged defects, Applicants enclose here substitute figures and a substitute sequence listing (electronic form and paper copy). Applicant(s) request entry of this amendment in adherence with 37 C.F.R. §§1.821 to 1.825. This amendment is accompanied by a floppy disk containing the sequences (SEQ ID NOs:1-57) in computer readable form, and a paper copy of the sequence information that has been printed from the floppy disk.

The information contained in the computer readable form (floppy disk) was prepared through the use of the software program "PatentIn" and is identical to that of the paper copy.

This amendment contains no new matter. The amendments to the specification and/or claims are to provide a formal sequence listing and/or to provide appropriate cross-references to SEQ ID Numbers in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §§1.821 to 1.825. The sequence information provided herein finds support in the specification as filed.

#### B) Time Period for Response to Notice of Incomplete Reply

The Notice of Incomplete Reply further states that the period of time to respond remains as set forth in the Notice of Missing Parts. This is procedurally incorrect. Applicants believe they should have been given one month to respond with extensions of time as provided under 35 U.S.C. §1.136(a).

Applicants timely filed a *bona fide* response to the Notice of Missing Parts on November 20, 2001 containing both the requested sequence listing and substitute figures. The Patent Office however, failed to respond to Applicants submission with the Notice of Incomplete Reply until May 28, 2002, *nearly six months later*.

Application No.: 09/870,406

Page 3

According to M.P.E.P §714.03.

Where the amendment is *bona fide* but contains a serious omission, the examiner should: A) if there is sufficient time remaining for applicant's reply to be filed within the time period for reply to the non-final Office action (or within any extension pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a)), notify applicant that the omission must be supplied within the time period for reply; or B) if there is insufficient time remaining, issue an Office action setting a 1-month time period to complete the reply pursuant to 37 CFR 1.135(c). In either event, the examiner should not further examine the application on its merits unless and until the omission is timely supplied. If a new time period for reply is set pursuant to 37 CFR 1.135(c), applicant must supply the omission within this new time period for reply (or any extensions under 37 CFR 1.136(a) thereof) in order to avoid abandonment of the application. [emphasis added]

Similarly, M.P.E.P. §2422.07 states:

When an action by the applicant is a *bona fide* attempt to comply with these rules and it is apparent that compliance with some requirement has inadvertently been omitted, the applicant may be given a new time period to correct the omission. See 37 CFR 1.135(c).

and paragraph 24.03 states:

 $\P$  24.03 Compact Disc/CRF Submission Is Not Fully Responsive, Bona Fide Attempt

The reply filed [1] is not fully responsive to the Office communication mailed [2] for the reason(s) set forth below or on the attached Notice To Comply With The Sequence Rules or CRF Diskette Problem Report. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given a TIME PERIOD of ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a). When the reply appears to be bona fide, but through an apparent oversight or inadvertence failed to provide a complete reply, use form paragraph 24.03. 2.

Accordingly, Applicants believe the Notice of Incomplete Reply should have granted Applicants one month to respond with extensions of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application No.: 09/870,406

Page 4

Accordingly, Applicants believe the present response with petition for two month extension of time is timely filed.

If a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (510) 337-7871.

QUINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

GROUP, P.C.

P.O. BOX 458

Alameda, CA 94501

Tel: 510 337-7871 Fax: 510 337-7877 Respectfully submitted,

Tom Hunter

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Reg. No: 38,498

c \toms work\\_amendments\407t uc ott\407t-907720us hy2\407t-907720us.aml.doc

_∖. Please type a plu	s sign (+) inside this box	→[+]	m-m	(Modified) PTO/SB/21 (6-98) se through 09/30/2000. OMB 0651-0031
Purffer the		L	Patent and Trademark Offic	e: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ollection of information unless it displays a
TRANSMITTAL FORM  (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Application Number	09/870,406
			Filing Date	May 29, 2001
			First Named Inventor	John Clark Lagarias
			Group Art Unit	Unassigned
			Examiner Name	Unassigned
Total Number of Pages in This Submission			Attorney Docket Number	407T-907720US
		ENCLOS	SURES (check all that app	oly)
X Amendmer Afte Affic X Extension of Express Ab Information Certified C Document( X Copy of No Reply X Res Part	Attached  Int / Response  Int	Assign Execu X Drawi Letter Substi Execu and 3.* Termina Small E Reques Authorization to Please charge D this paper or dur	ted Declaration  ngs  to Official Draftsperson  tute Specification  ted Power of Attorney 73b Certificate  Il Disclaimer  ntity Statement  t for Refund  Charge Deposit Account eposit Account	After Allowance Communication to Group Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice Brief Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter X Additional Enclosure(s) (please identify below) Sequence Listing with Diskette receipt acknowledgment postcard or any additional fees associated with cation, including any extensions of time
	SIGNATU	IRE OF APPLI	CANT, ATTORNEY, OR A	AGENT
Firm or Individual name	Tom Hunter, Reg. No. 38,498, Quine Intellectual Property Law Group P.C.			
Signature	Com Alent			
Date 8/22/02				
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING				
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as <u>first class mail in an</u> envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on this date.				
Typed or printed	name Chianti A	ppling	7-	
Signature	( IV		Date	1 8123/02





COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NOWBERANE

FILING/RECEIPT DATE

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTORNEY DOCKET NUMBER

09/870,406

05/29/2001

John Clark Lagarias

407T-907730LIS

22798 LAW OFFICES OF JONATHAN ALAN QUINE P O BOX 458 ALAMEDA, CA 94501

**CONFIRMATION NO. 7073 FORMALITIES LETTER** OC000000008191264\*

Date Mailed: 05/28/2002

# NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE REPLY (NONPROVISIONAL)

#### Filing Date Granted

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office has received your reply on 01/07/2002 to the Notice to File Missing Parts (Notice) mailed 08/02/2001 and it has been entered into the nonprovisional application. The reply, however, does not include the following items required in the Notice

The period of reply remains as set forth in the Notice. You may, however, obtain EXTENSIONS OF TIME under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a) accompanied by the appropriate fee (37 CFR 1.17(a)).

A complete reply must be timely filed to prevent ABANDONMENT of the above-identified application.

The application is informal since it does not comply with the regulations for the reason(s) indicated below.

The required item(s) identified below must be timely submitted to avoid abandonment:

- Substitute drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 because:
  - drawing sheets do not have the appropriate margin(s) (see 37 CFR 1.84(g)) Each sheet must include a top margin of at least 2.5 cm. (1 inch), a left side margin of at least 2.5 cm. (1 inch), a right side margin of at least 1.5 cm. (5/8 inch), and a bottom margin of at least 1.0 cm. (3/8 inch),
- The computer readable form that has been filed with this application has been found to be damaged and/or unreadable as indicated on the attached CRF Diskette Problem Report. A substitute computer readable form must be submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.825(d). Applicant must provide a substitute computer readable form (CRF) copy of the "Sequence Listing" and a statement that the content of the sequence listing information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written (on paper or compact disc) sequence listing and, where applicable, includes no new matter, as required by 37 CFR 1.821(e), 1.821(f), 1 821(g), 1.825(b), or 1 825(d) If applicant desires the sequence listing in the instant application to be identical with that of another application on file in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, such request in accordance with 37 CFR 1.821(e) may be submitted in lieu of a new CRF.

For questions regarding compliance to these requirements, please contact:

■ For Rules Interpretation, call (703) 308-4216

COBUNICATION OF Page 2 of 2

■ To Purchase Patentin Software, call (703) 306-2600

■ For Patentin Software Program Help, call (703) 306-4119 or e-mail at patin21help@uspto.gov or patin3help@uspto.gov

A copy of this notice MUST be returned with the reply.

Customer Service Center

Initial Patent Examination Division (703) 308-1202

PART 2 - COPY TO BE RETURNED WITH RESPONSE